

PRINCIPAL FIBRATIONS AND GENERALIZED H -SPACES

YEON SOO YOON*

ABSTRACT. For a map $f : A \rightarrow X$, there are concepts of H^f -spaces, T^f -spaces, which are generalized ones of H -spaces [17,18]. In general, Any H -space is an H^f -space, any H^f -space is a T^f -space. For a principal fibration $E_k \rightarrow X$ induced by $k : X \rightarrow X'$ from $\epsilon : PX' \rightarrow X'$, we obtain some sufficient conditions to having liftings $H^{\bar{f}}$ -structures and $T^{\bar{f}}$ -structures on E_k of H^f -structures and T^f -structures on X respectively. We can also obtain some results about H^f -spaces and T^f -spaces in Postnikov systems for spaces, which are generalizations of Kahn's result about H -spaces.

1. Introduction

A map $f : A \rightarrow X$ is *cyclic* [14] if there is a map $F : X \times A \rightarrow X$ such that $F|_X \sim 1_X$ and $F|_A \sim f$. It is clear that a space X is an H -space if and only if the identity map 1_X of X is cyclic. We called a space X as an H^f -space for a map $f : A \rightarrow X$ [17] if there is a cyclic map $f : A \rightarrow X$, that is, there is an H^f -structure $F : X \times A \rightarrow X$ such that $Fj \sim \nabla(1 \vee f)$, where $j : X \vee A \rightarrow X \times A$ is the inclusion. We showed [17] that if a space X is an H -space, then for any space A and any map $f : A \rightarrow X$, X is an H^f -space for a map $f : A \rightarrow X$, but the converse does not hold. In [1], Aguade introduced a T -space as a space X having the property that the evaluation fibration $\Omega X \rightarrow X^{S^1} \rightarrow X$ is fibre homotopically trivial. It is easy to show that any H -space is a T -space. However, there are many T -spaces which are not H -spaces in [16]. Let ΣX denotes the reduced suspension of X , and ΩX denotes the based loop space of X . Let τ be the adjoint functor from the group $[\Sigma X, Y]$ to the group $[X, \Omega Y]$. The symbols e and e' denote $\tau^{-1}(1_{\Omega X})$ and $\tau(1_{\Sigma X})$

Received January 19, 2016; Accepted February 05, 2016.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 55P45, 55P35.

Key words and phrases: H^f -spaces, T^f -spaces for maps, Postnikov systems.

This work was supported by Hannam University Research Fund, 2015.

respectively. It is well known [1] that a space X is a T -space if and only if the evaluating map $e : \Sigma\Omega X \rightarrow X$ is cyclic. We called a space X as a T^f -space for a map $f : A \rightarrow X$ [18] if $e : \Sigma\Omega X \rightarrow X$ is f -cyclic, that is, there is a T^f -structure $F : \Sigma\Omega X \times A \rightarrow X$ such that $Fj \sim \nabla(e \vee f)$, where $j : \Sigma\Omega X \vee A \rightarrow \Sigma\Omega X \times A$ is the inclusion. We also showed [18] that if X is a T -space, then for any space A and any map $f : A \rightarrow X$, X is a T^f -space for a map $f : A \rightarrow X$, but the converse does not hold. We called a space X as a G^f -space for a map $f : A \rightarrow X$ [19] if $e : \Sigma\Omega X \rightarrow X$ is weakly f -cyclic, that is, $e_{\#}(\pi_n(\Sigma\Omega X)) \subset G_n(A, f, X)$ for all n . For a map $f : A \rightarrow X$, there are concepts of H^f -spaces, T^f -spaces and G^f -spaces which are generalized ones of H -spaces. In general, Any H -space is an H^f -space, any H^f -space is a T^f -space and any T^f -space is a G^f -space. In this paper, for a principal fibration $E_k \rightarrow X$ induced by $k : X \rightarrow X'$ from $\epsilon : PX' \rightarrow X'$, we obtain some sufficient conditions to having liftings $H^{\bar{f}}$ -structures and $T^{\bar{f}}$ -structures on E_k of H^f -structures and T^f -structures on X respectively. We can also obtain some results about H^f -spaces and T^f -spaces in Postnikov systems for spaces, which are generalizations of Kahn's result about H -spaces.

2. Gottlieb sets for maps and generalized H -spaces

Let $f : A \rightarrow X$ be a map. A based map $g : B \rightarrow X$ is called f -cyclic [12] if there is a map $\phi : B \times A \rightarrow X$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \times B & \xrightarrow{\phi} & X \\ j \uparrow & & \nabla \uparrow \\ A \vee B & \xrightarrow{(f \vee g)} & X \vee X \end{array}$$

is homotopy commute, where $j : A \vee B \rightarrow A \times B$ is the inclusion and $\nabla : X \vee X \rightarrow X$ is the folding map. We call such a map ϕ an *associated map* of a f -cyclic map g . Clearly, g is f -cyclic iff f is g -cyclic. In the case, $f = 1_X : X \rightarrow X$, $g : B \rightarrow X$ is called cyclic [14]. We denote the set of all homotopy classes of f -cyclic maps from B to X by $G(B; A, f, X)$ which is called the *Gottlieb set for a map $f : A \rightarrow X$* . In the case $f = 1_X : X \rightarrow X$, we called such a set $G(B; X, 1, X)$ the *Gottlieb set* denoted $G(B; X)$. In particular, $G(S^n; A, f, X)$ will be denoted by $G_n(A, f, X)$. Gottlieb [3,4] introduced and studied the *evaluation subgroups* $G_n(X) = G_n(X, 1, X)$ of $\pi_n(X)$.

In general, $G(B; X) \subset G(B; A, f, X) \subset [B, X]$ for any map $f : A \rightarrow X$ and any space B . However, there is an example [20] such that $G(B, X) \neq G(B; A, f, X) \neq [B, X]$.

The next proposition is an immediate consequence from the definition.

PROPOSITION 2.1.

- (1) For any maps $f : A \rightarrow X$, $\theta : C \rightarrow A$ and any space B , $G(B; A, f, X) \subset G(B; C, f\theta, X)$.
- (2) $G(B, X) = G(B; X, 1_X, X) \subset G(B; A, f, X) \subset G(B; A, *, X) = [B, X]$ for any spaces X , A and B .
- (3) $G(B, X) = \cap\{G(B; A, f, X) | f : A \rightarrow X \text{ is a map and } A \text{ is a space}\}$.
- (4) If $h : C \rightarrow A$ is a homotopy equivalence, then $G(B; A, f, X) = G(B; C, fh, X)$.
- (5) For any map $k : X \rightarrow Y$, $k_{\#}(G(B; A, f, X)) \subset G(B; A, kf, Y)$.
- (6) For any map $k : X \rightarrow Y$, $k_{\#}(G(B, X)) \subset G(B; X, k, Y)$.
- (7) For any map $s : C \rightarrow B$, $s^{\#}(G(B; A, f, X)) \subset G(C; A, f, X)$.

PROPOSITION 2.2.

- (1) [9] X is an H -space $\iff G(B, X) = [B, X]$ for any space B .
- (2) [16] X is a T -space $\iff G(\Sigma C, X) = [\Sigma C, X]$ for any space C .
- (3) [4] X is a G -space $\iff G_n(X) = \pi_n(X)$ for all n .

It is clear that any H -space is a T -space and any T -space is a G -space.

PROPOSITION 2.3. Let $f : A \rightarrow X$ be a map. Then

- (1) [17] X is an H^f -space $\iff G(B; A, f, X) = [B, X]$ for any space B .
- (2) [18] X is a T^f -space $\iff G(\Sigma C; A, f, X) = [\Sigma C, X]$ for any space C .
- (3) [19] X is a G^f -space $\iff G_n(A, f, X) = \pi_n(X)$ for all n .

It is clear that any H^f -space is a T^f -space and any T^f -space is a G^f -space.

3. Principal fibrations and generalized H -spaces

Let $f : A \rightarrow X$, $f' : A' \rightarrow X'$, $l : A \rightarrow A'$, $k : X \rightarrow X'$ be maps. Then a pair of maps $(k, l) : (X, A) \rightarrow (X', A')$ is called a map from f to

f' if the following diagram is commutative;

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{f} & X \\ \downarrow l & & \downarrow k \\ A' & \xrightarrow{f'} & X'. \end{array}$$

It will be denoted by $(k, l) : f \rightarrow f'$.

Given maps $f : A \rightarrow X$, $f' : A' \rightarrow X'$, let $(k, l) : f \rightarrow f'$ be a map from f to f' . Let PX' and PA' be the spaces of paths in X' and A' which begin at $*$ respectively. Let $\epsilon_{X'} : PX' \rightarrow X'$ and $\epsilon_{A'} : PA' \rightarrow A'$ be the fibrations given by evaluating a path at its end point. Let $p_k : E_k \rightarrow X$ be the fibration induced by $k : X \rightarrow X'$ from $\epsilon_{X'}$. Let $p_l : E_l \rightarrow A$ induced by $l : A \rightarrow A'$ from $\epsilon_{A'}$. Then there is a map $\bar{f} : E_l \rightarrow E_k$ such that the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_l & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} & E_k \\ p_l \downarrow & & \downarrow p_k \\ A & \xrightarrow{f} & X, \end{array}$$

where $E_l = \{(a, \xi) \in A \times PA' \mid l(a) = \epsilon(\xi)\}$, $E_k = \{(x, \eta) \in X \times PX' \mid k(x) = \epsilon(\eta)\}$, $\bar{f}(a, \xi) = (f(a), f' \circ \xi)$, $p_k(x, \eta) = x$, $p_l(a, \xi) = a$.

DEFINITION 3.1. Let X be an H^f -space for a map $f : A \rightarrow X$. Then a map $(k, l) : f \rightarrow f'$ is called an H^f -primitive if there is an associated map $F : X \times A \rightarrow X$ such that $Fj \sim \nabla(1 \vee f)$ and $kF(p_k \times p_l) \sim * : E_k \times E_l \rightarrow X'$, where $j : X \vee A \rightarrow X \times A$ is the inclusion.

DEFINITION 3.2. Let X be a T^f -space for a map $f : A \rightarrow X$. Then a map $(k, l) : f \rightarrow f'$ is called a T^f -primitive if there is an associated map $F : \Sigma\Omega X \times A \rightarrow X$ such that $Fj \sim \nabla(e \vee f)$ and $kF(\Sigma\Omega p_k \times p_l) \sim * : \Sigma\Omega E_k \times E_l \rightarrow X'$, where $j : \Sigma\Omega X \vee A \rightarrow \Sigma\Omega X \times A$ is the inclusion.

DEFINITION 3.3. [19] Let X be a G^f -space for a map $f : A \rightarrow X$. Then a map $(k, l) : f \rightarrow f'$ is called a G^f -primitive if for each m and each map $g : S^m \rightarrow X$, there is a map $F : S^m \times A \rightarrow X$ such that $Fj \sim \nabla(g \vee f)$, $kF(1 \times p_l) \sim * : S^m \times E_l \rightarrow X'$, where $j : S^m \vee A \rightarrow S^m \times A$ is the inclusion.

It is well known that any map $g : S^m \rightarrow X$, $g \sim e\Sigma\tau(g) : S^m \rightarrow X$. Thus we know the above definition is equivalent to one in [19].

PROPOSITION 3.4.

- (1) If X is an H^f -space for a map $f : A \rightarrow X$ and $(k, l) : f \rightarrow f'$ is an H^f -primitive, then $(k, l) : f \rightarrow f'$ is a T^f -primitive.
- (2) If X is a T^f -space for a map $f : A \rightarrow X$ and $(k, l) : f \rightarrow f'$ is an T^f -primitive, then $(k, l) : f \rightarrow f'$ is a G^f -primitive.

Proof. (1) Since $(k, l) : f \rightarrow f'$ is an H^f -primitive, there is an associated map $F : X \times A \rightarrow X$ such that $Fj \sim \nabla(1 \vee f)$ and $kF(p_k \times p_l) \sim * : E_k \times E_l \rightarrow X'$. Let $F' = F(e_X \times 1) : \Sigma\Omega X \times A \rightarrow X$. Then $F'j' \sim Fj(e_X \vee 1) \sim \nabla(1 \vee f)(e_X \vee 1) = \nabla(e_X \vee f)$, where $j' : \Sigma\Omega X \vee A \rightarrow \Sigma\Omega X \times A$ is the inclusion. Moreover, since $(p_k \times p_l)(e_{E_k} \times 1_{E_l}) \sim (e_X \times 1_A)(\Sigma\Omega p_k \times p_l) : \Sigma\Omega E_k \times E_l \rightarrow X \times A$, we have that $kF'(\Sigma\Omega p_k \times p_l) \sim kF(e_X \times 1)(\Sigma\Omega p_k \times p_l) \sim kF(p_k \times p_l)(e_{E_k} \times 1_{E_l}) \sim *$. Thus $(k, l) : f \rightarrow f'$ is a T^f -primitive.

(2) Since $(k, l) : f \rightarrow f'$ is a T^f -primitive, there is an associated map $F : \Sigma\Omega X \times A \rightarrow X$ such that $Fj \sim \nabla(e \vee f)$ and $kF(\Sigma\Omega p_k \times p_l) \sim * : \Sigma\Omega E_k \times E_l \rightarrow X'$. For each m and each $g : S^m \rightarrow X$, let $F' = F(\Sigma\tau(g) \times 1) : S^m \times A \rightarrow X$. Then $F'j' \sim Fj(\Sigma\tau(g) \vee 1) \sim \nabla(e \vee f)(\Sigma\tau(g) \vee 1) \sim \nabla(g \vee f)$, where $j' : S^m \vee A \rightarrow S^m \times A$ is the inclusion. Moreover, since $(1 \times p_l)(\Sigma\tau(g) \times 1_{E_l}) \sim (\Sigma\tau(g) \times 1_A)(1_{S^m} \times p_l) : S^m \times E_l \rightarrow \Sigma\Omega X \times A$, we have that $kF'(1_{S^m} \times p_l) = kF(\Sigma\tau(g) \times 1)(1_{S^m} \times p_l) \sim (kF(\Sigma\Omega p_k \times p_l)(\Sigma\tau(g) \times 1_{E_l}) \sim *(\Sigma\tau(g) \times 1_{E_l}) \sim *$. Thus $(k, l) : f \rightarrow f'$ is a G^f -primitive. \square

LEMMA 3.5.

- (1) A map $l : C \rightarrow X$ can be lifted to a map $C \rightarrow E_k$ if and only if $kl \sim *$.
- (2) [5] Given maps $g_i : A_i \rightarrow E_k$, $i = 1, 2$ and $g : A_1 \times A_2 \rightarrow E_k$ satisfying $p_k g|_{A_i} \sim p_k g_i$, $i = 1, 2$, then there is a map $h : A_1 \times A_2 \rightarrow E_k$ such that $p_k h = p_k g$ and $h|_{A_i} \sim g_i$, $i = 1, 2$.

THEOREM 3.6.

- (1) If X is an H^f -space for a map $f : A \rightarrow X$ and $(k, l) : f \rightarrow f'$ is an H^f -primitive, then E_k is an $H^{\bar{f}}$ -space for $\bar{f} : E_l \rightarrow E_k$.
- (2) If X is a T^f -space for a map $f : A \rightarrow X$ and $(k, l) : f \rightarrow f'$ is a T^f -primitive, then E_k is a $T^{\bar{f}}$ -space for $\bar{f} : E_l \rightarrow E_k$.

Proof. (1) Since $(k, l) : f \rightarrow f'$ is an H^f -primitive, there is a map $F : X \times A \rightarrow X$ such that $Fj \sim \nabla(1 \vee f)$ and $kF(p_k \times p_l) \sim * : E_k \times E_l \rightarrow X'$, where $j : X \vee A \rightarrow X \times A$ is the inclusion. From Lemma 3.5(1), there is a lifting $F' : E_k \times E_l \rightarrow E_k$ of $F(p_k \times p_l) : E_k \times E_l \rightarrow E_k$, that is, $p_k F' = F(p_k \times p_l)$. Then $p_k F'|_{E_k} = F(p_k \times p_l)|_{E_k} \sim F|_X p_k \sim p_k 1_{E_k}$ and $p_k F'|_{E_l} = F(p_k \times p_l)|_{E_l} \sim F|_A p_l \sim f p_l = p_k \bar{f}$. Thus we have,

from Lemma 3.5(2), that there is a map $\bar{F} : E_k \times E_l \rightarrow E_k$ such that $p_k \bar{F} = p_k F' = F(p_k \times p_l)$ and $\bar{F}|_{E_k} \sim 1_{E_k}$, $\bar{F}|_{E_l} \sim \bar{f}$. Thus E_k is an $H^{\bar{f}}$ -space for $\bar{f} : E_l \rightarrow E_k$. This proves the theorem.

(2) Since $(k, l) : f \rightarrow f'$ is a T^f -primitive, there is a map $F : \Sigma\Omega X \times A \rightarrow X$ such that $Fj \sim \nabla(e \vee f)$ and $kF(\Sigma\Omega p_k \times p_l) \sim * : \Sigma\Omega E_k \times E_l \rightarrow X'$, where $j : X \vee A \rightarrow X \times A$ is the inclusion. From Lemma 3.5(1), there is a lifting $F' : \Sigma\Omega E_k \times E_l \rightarrow E_k$ of $F(\Sigma\Omega p_k \times p_l) : \Sigma\Omega E_k \times E_l \rightarrow E_k$, that is, $p_k F' = F(\Sigma\Omega p_k \times p_l)$. Then $p_k F'|_{\Sigma\Omega E_k} = F(\Sigma\Omega p_k \times p_l)|_{\Sigma\Omega E_k} \sim F|_{\Sigma\Omega X} \Sigma\Omega p_k \sim e \Sigma\Omega p_k \sim p_k e_{E_k}$ and $p_k F'|_{E_l} = F(\Sigma\Omega p_k \times p_l)|_{E_l} \sim F|_{A p_l} \sim f p_l = p_k \bar{f}$. Thus we have, from Lemma 3.5(2), that there is a map $\bar{F} : \Sigma\Omega E_k \times E_l \rightarrow E_k$ such that $p_k \bar{F} = p_k F' = F(\Sigma\Omega p_k \times p_l)$ and $\bar{F}|_{\Sigma\Omega E_k} \sim e_{E_k}$, $\bar{F}|_{E_l} \sim \bar{f}$. Thus E_k is a $T^{\bar{f}}$ -space for $\bar{f} : E_l \rightarrow E_k$. This proves the theorem. \square

PROPOSITION 3.7. [19] *If X is a G^f -space for a map $f : A \rightarrow X$ and $(k, l) : f \rightarrow f'$ is a G^f -primitive, then E_k is a $G^{\bar{f}}$ -space for $\bar{f} : E_l \rightarrow E_k$.*

In 1951, Postnikov [13] introduced the notion of the Postnikov system as follows; A *Postnikov system* for X (or *homotopy decomposition* of X) $\{X_n, i_n, p_n\}$ consists of a sequence of spaces and maps satisfying (1) $i_n : X \rightarrow X_n$ induces an isomorphism $(i_n)_\# : \pi_i(X) \rightarrow \pi_i(X_n)$ for $i \leq n$. (2) $p_n : X_n \rightarrow X_{n-1}$ is a fibration with fiber $K(\pi_n(X), n)$. (3) $p_n i_n \sim i_{n+1}$. It is well known fact [11] that if X is a 1-connected space having a homotopy type of CW-complex, then there is a Postnikov system $\{X_n, i_n, p_n\}$ for X such that $p_{n+1} : X_{n+1} \rightarrow X_n$ is the fibration induced from the path space fibration over $K(\pi_{n+1}(X), n+2)$ by a map $k_X^{n+2} : X_n \rightarrow K(\pi_{n+1}(X), n+2)$. It is well known [7] that if A and X are spaces having the homotopy type of 1-connected countable CW-complexes and $f : A \rightarrow X$ is a map, then there exist Postnikov systems $\{A_n, i'_n, p'_n\}$ and $\{X_n, i_n, p_n\}$ for A and X respectively and induced maps $\{f_n : A_n \rightarrow X_n\}$ satisfying (1) for each n , the following diagram is homotopy commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_n & \xrightarrow{f_n} & X_n \\ k_A^{n+2} \downarrow & & k_X^{n+2} \downarrow \\ K(\pi_{n+1}(A), n+2) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}_\#} & K(\pi_{n+1}(X), n+2), \end{array}$$

that is, $(k_X^{n+2}, k_A^{n+2}) : f_n \rightarrow \tilde{f}_\#$. (2) $f_{n+1} : A_{n+1} \rightarrow X_{n+1}$ given by $f_{n+1} = \tilde{f}_n$ satisfying commute diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
A_{n+1}(= E_{k_A^{n+2}}) & \xrightarrow{f_{n+1}=\tilde{f}_n} & X_{n+1} = (E_{k_X^{n+2}}) \\
p'_n(=p_{k_A^{n+2}}) \downarrow & & p_n(=p_{k_X^{n+2}}) \downarrow \\
A_n & \xrightarrow{f_n} & X_n.
\end{array}$$

(3) for each n , the following diagram is homotopy commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
A & \xrightarrow{f} & X \\
i'_n \downarrow & & i_n \downarrow \\
A_n & \xrightarrow{f_n} & X_n.
\end{array}$$

THEOREM 3.8. *Let A and X be spaces having the homotopy type of 1-connected countable CW-complexes and $f; A \rightarrow X$ a map, and $\{A_n, i'_n, p'_n\}$ and $\{X_n, i_n, p_n\}$ Postnikov systems for A and X respectively.*

- (1) *If X is an H^f -space for a map $f : A \rightarrow X$, then each X_n is H^{f_n} -space and the all pair of k invariants $(k_X^{n+2}, k_A^{n+2}) : f_n \rightarrow \tilde{f}_\#$ are H^{f_n} -primitive.*
- (2) *If X_{n-1} is an $H^{f_{n-1}}$ -space and the pair of k -invariants $(k_X^{n+1}, k_A^{n+1}) : f_{n-1} \rightarrow \tilde{f}_\#$ is $H^{f_{n-1}}$ -primitive, then X_n is an H^{f_n} -space, where f_n is an induced map from f .*

Proof. (1) Clearly $\{X_n \times A_n, i_n \times i'_n, p_n \times p'_n\}$ is a Postnikov system for $X \times A$. Then we have, by Kahn's result [7, Theorem 2.2], that there are families of maps $f_n : A_n \rightarrow X_n$ and $F_n : X_n \times A_n \rightarrow X_n$ such that $p_n f_n = f_{n-1} p'_n$ and $i_n f \sim f_n i'_n$, and $p_n F_n = F_{n-1}(p_n \times p'_n)$ and $i_n F \sim F_n(i_n \times i'_n)$ for $n = 2, 3, \dots$ respectively, and $k_X^{n+2} f_n \sim \tilde{f} k_A^{n+2}$, $k_X^{n+2} F_n \sim \tilde{F}_\#(k_X^{n+2} \times k_A^{n+2})$, where $k_A^{n+2} : A_n \rightarrow K(\pi_{n+1}(A), n+2)$ and $k_X^{n+2} : X_n \rightarrow K(\pi_{n+1}(X), n+2)$ are k -invariants of A and X respectively, $\tilde{f}_\# : K(\pi_{n+1}(A), n+2) \rightarrow K(\pi_{n+1}(X), n+2)$ and $\tilde{F}_\# : K(\pi_{n+1}(X), n+2) \times K(\pi_{n+1}(A), n+2) \approx K(\pi_{n+1}(X \times A), n+2) \rightarrow K(\pi_{n+1}(X), n+2)$ are the induced maps by $f : A \rightarrow X$ and $F : X \times A \rightarrow X$ respectively. Since $F|_X \sim 1$ and $F|_A \sim f$, we know, from Kahn's another result [8, Theorem 1.2], that $F_n|_{X_n} = (F|_X)_n \sim 1$ and $F_n|_{A_n} = (F|_A)_n \sim f_n$. Thus for each n , there exists an H^{f_n} -structure $F_n : X_n \times A_n \rightarrow X_n$ on X_n such that $F_n j_n \sim \nabla(1 \vee f_n)$, where $j_n : X_n \vee A_n \rightarrow X_n \times A_n$ is the inclusion and f_n is an induced map from f , and X_n is an H^{f_n} -space. Moreover, since there is a lifting $F_{n+1} : X_{n+1} \times A_{n+1} \rightarrow X_{n+1}$ of F_n such that $p_{n+1} F_{n+1} \sim F_n(p_{n+1} \times p'_{n+1})$, we know, from Lemma

3.5(1), that $k_X^{n+2}F_n(p_{n+1} \times p'_{n+1}) \sim *$ and all the pair of k -invariants $(k_X^{n+2}, k_A^{n+2}) : f_n \rightarrow \tilde{f}_\#$ are H^{J^n} -primitive, where $\tilde{f}_\# : K(\pi_{n+1}(A), n+2) \rightarrow K(\pi_{n+1}(X), n+2)$ is the induced map by $f : A \rightarrow X$.

(2) It follows from Theorem 3.6(1). \square

Taking $f = 1_X$, $f' = 1_{K(\pi_{n+1}(X), n+2)}$, $l = k = k_X^{n+2}$, we can obtain, from the fact [15] $p_{n+1} : X_{n+1} \rightarrow X_n$ is an H -map if and only if k_X^{n+1} is primitive and the above theorem, the following corollary given by Kahn [8].

COROLLARY 3.9. [8, Theorem 1.3] *Let X be space having the homotopy type of 1-connected countable CW-complexes and $\{X_n, i_n, p_n\}$ Postnikov systems for X .*

- (1) *If X is an H -space, then each X_n is H -space and all the k invariants k_X^{n+2} is primitive.*
- (2) *If X_{n-1} is an H -space and the k -invariants k_X^{n+1} is primitive, then X_n is an H -space, where f_n is an induced map from f .*

THEOREM 3.10. *Let A and X be spaces having the homotopy type of 1-connected countable CW-complexes and $f : A \rightarrow X$ a map, and $\{A_n, i'_n, p'_n\}$ and $\{X_n, i_n, p_n\}$ Postnikov systems for A and X respectively.*

- (1) *If X is a T^f -space for a map $f : A \rightarrow X$, then each X_n is T^{f_n} -space and the all pair of k invariants $(k_X^{n+2}, k_A^{n+2}) : f_n \rightarrow \tilde{f}_\#$ are T^{f_n} -primitive.*
- (2) *If X_{n-1} is a $T^{f_{n-1}}$ -space and the pair of k -invariants $(k_X^{n+1}, k_A^{n+1}) : f_{n-1} \rightarrow \tilde{f}_\#$ is $T^{f_{n-1}}$ -primitive, then X_n is a T^{f_n} -space, where f_n is an induced map from f .*

Proof. (1) Clearly $\{\Sigma\Omega X_n \times A_n, \Sigma\Omega i_n \times i'_n, \Sigma\Omega p_n \times p'_n\}$ is a Postnikov system for $\Sigma\Omega X \times A$. Then we have, by Kahn's result [7, Theorem 2.2], that there are families of maps $f_n : A_n \rightarrow X_n$ and $F_n : \Sigma\Omega X_n \times A_n \rightarrow X_n$ such that $p_n f_n = f_{n-1} p'_n$ and $i_n f \sim f_n i'_n$, and $p_n F_n = F_{n-1}(\Sigma\Omega p_n \times p'_n)$ and $i_n F \sim F_n(\Sigma\Omega i_n \times i'_n)$ for $n = 2, 3, \dots$ respectively, and $k_X^{n+2} f_n \sim \tilde{f}_\# k_A^{n+2}$, $k_X^{n+2} F_n \sim \tilde{F}_\#(k_{\Sigma\Omega X}^{n+2} \times k_A^{n+2})$, where $k_A^{n+2} : A_n \rightarrow K(\pi_{n+1}(A), n+2)$ and $k_X^{n+2} : X_n \rightarrow K(\pi_{n+1}(X), n+2)$ and $k_{\Sigma\Omega X}^{n+2} : \Sigma\Omega X_n \rightarrow K(\pi_{n+1}(\Sigma\Omega X), n+2)$ are k -invariants of A , X and $\Sigma\Omega X$ respectively, $\tilde{f}_\# : K(\pi_{n+1}(A), n+2) \rightarrow K(\pi_{n+1}(X), n+2)$ and $\tilde{F}_\# : K(\pi_{n+1}(\Sigma\Omega X), n+2) \times K(\pi_{n+1}(A), n+2) \approx K(\pi_{n+1}(\Sigma\Omega X \times A), n+2) \rightarrow K(\pi_{n+1}(X), n+2)$ are the induced maps by $f : A \rightarrow X$ and $F : \Sigma\Omega X \times A \rightarrow X$ respectively. Since $F|_{\Sigma\Omega X} \sim e$ and $F|_A \sim f$,

we know, from Kahn's another result [8, Theorem 1.2], that $F_n|_{\Sigma\Omega X_n} = (F|_{\Sigma\Omega X})_n \sim 1$ and $F_n|_{A_n} = (F|_A)_n \sim f_n$. Thus for each n , there exists a T^{f_n} -structure $F_n : \Sigma\Omega X_n \times A_n \rightarrow X_n$ on X_n such that $F_n j_n \sim \nabla(e \vee f_n)$, where $j_n : \Sigma\Omega X_n \vee A_n \rightarrow \Sigma\Omega X_n \times A_n$ is the inclusion and f_n is an induced map from f , and X_n is a T^{f_n} -space. Moreover, since there is a lifting $F_{n+1} : \Sigma\Omega X_{n+1} \times A_{n+1} \rightarrow X_{n+1}$ of F_n such that $p_{n+1} F_{n+1} \sim F_n(\Sigma\Omega p_{n+1} \times p'_{n+1})$, we know, from Lemma 3.5(1), that $k_X^{n+2} F_n(\Sigma\Omega p_{n+1} \times p'_{n+1}) \sim *$ and all the pair of k -invariants $(k_X^{n+2}, k_A^{n+2}) : f_n \rightarrow \tilde{f}_\#$ are T^{f_n} -primitive, where $\tilde{f}_\# : K(\pi_{n+1}(A), n+2) \rightarrow K(\pi_{n+1}(X), n+2)$ is the induced map by $f : A \rightarrow X$.

(2) It follows from Theorem 3.6(2). \square

In [19], the similar result with the above is known as follows.

PROPOSITION 3.11. [19] *Let A and X be spaces having the homotopy type of 1-connected countable CW-complexes and $f : A \rightarrow X$ a map, and $\{A_n, i'_n, p'_n\}$ and $\{X_n, i_n, p_n\}$ Postnikov systems for A and X respectively.*

- (1) *If X is a G^f -space for a map $f : A \rightarrow X$, then each X_n is G^{f_n} -space and the all pair of k invariants $(k_X^{n+2}, k_A^{n+2}) : f_n \rightarrow \tilde{f}_\#$ are G^{f_n} -primitive.*
- (2) *If X_{n-1} is a $G^{f_{n-1}}$ -space and the pair of k -invariants $(k_X^{n+1}, k_A^{n+1}) : f_{n-1} \rightarrow \tilde{f}_\#$ is $G^{f_{n-1}}$ -primitive, then X_n is a G^{f_n} -space, where f_n is an induced map from f .*

Taking $f = 1_X$, $f' = 1_{K(\pi_{n+1}(X), n+2)}$, $l = k = k_X^{n+2}$, we can obtain the following corollary given by Haslam[5].

COROLLARY 3.12. [5] *Let X be space having the homotopy type of 1-connected countable CW-complexes and $\{X_n, i_n, p_n\}$ Postnikov systems for X .*

- (1) *If X is a G -space, then each X_n is G -space and all the k invariants k_X^{n+2} are G -primitive.*
- (2) *If X_{n-1} is a G -space and the k -invariants k_X^{n+1} is G -primitive, then X_n is a G -space, where f_n is an induced map from f .*

References

- [1] J. Aguade, *Decomposable free loop spaces*, Canad. J. Math. **39** (1987), 938-955.
- [2] B. Eckmann and P. Hilton, *Decomposition homologique d'un polyedre simplement connexe*, *ibid* **248** (1959), 2054-2558.

- [3] D. H. Gottlieb, *A certain subgroup of the fundamental group*, Amer. J. Math. **87** (1965), 840-856.
- [4] D. H. Gottlieb, *Evaluation subgroups of homotopy groups*, Amer. J. Math. **91** (1969), 729-756.
- [5] H. B. Haslam, *G-spaces and H-spaces*, Ph. D. Thesis, Univ. of California, Irvine, 1969.
- [6] P. Hilton, *Homotopy Theory and Duality*, Gordon and Breach Science Pub., 1965.
- [7] D. W. Kahn, *Induced maps for Postnikov systems*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **107** (1963), 432-450.
- [8] D. W. Kahn, *A note on H-spaces and Postnikov systems of spheres*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **15** (1964), 300-307.
- [9] K. L. Lim, *On cyclic maps*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. (Series A) **32** (1982), 349-357.
- [10] K. L. Lim, *Cocyclic maps and coevaluation subgroups*, Canad. Math. Bull. **30** (1987), 63-71.
- [11] R. E. Mosher and M. C. Tangora, *Cohomology operations and applications in homotopy theory*, Harper & Row, New York, 1968.
- [12] N. Oda, *The homotopy of the axes of pairings*, Canad. J. Math. **17** (1990), 856-868.
- [13] M. Postnikov, *On the homotopy type of polyhedra*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR **76** (1951), no. 6, 789-791.
- [14] K. Varadarajan, *Generalized Gottlieb groups*, J. Indian Math. Soc. **33** (1969), 141-164.
- [15] G. W. Whitehead, *Elements of homotopy theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York Inc., 1978.
- [16] M. H. Woo and Y. S. Yoon, *T-spaces by the Gottlieb groups and duality*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. (Series A) **59** (1995), 193-203.
- [17] Y. S. Yoon, *H^f -spaces for maps and their duals*, J. Korea Soc. Math. Edu. Series B **14**, no. 4, 287-306.
- [18] Y. S. Yoon, *Lifting T-structures and their duals*, J. Chungcheong Math. Soc. **20** (2007), no. 3, 245-259.
- [19] Y. S. Yoon, *G^f -spaces for maps and Postnikov systems*, J. Chungcheong Math. Soc. **22** (2009), no. 4, 831-841.
- [20] Y. S. Yoon, *Generalized Gottlieb groups and generalized Wang homomorphisms*, Sci. Math. Japon. **55** (2002), no. 1, 139-148.

*

Department of Mathematics Education
Hannam University
Daejeon 306-791, Republic of Korea
E-mail: yoon@hannam.ac.kr